2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10 v.

RICKIE HILL

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

11 DOUGLAS RANDS, et al.,

12

13

15 17

19

20

21

26

28

. . . .

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 

Case No.: 2:20-cv-00684-APG-NJK

## Order

[Docket No. 15]

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion "accepting global settlement conference." Docket No. 15. Plaintiff is a frequent litigator in this court. He submits that, during a mediation on another matter, the Honorable Valerie Cooke offered to arrange and conduct a global settlement conference to resolve all his pending cases in this Court. Id. Defendants submit that they were not a part of that mediation and are unaware of the substance of Plaintiff's discussion. Docket No. 17 at 1. Despite this, Defendants submit that they would not be opposed to participating in such a conference. Id. at 2.

The Court notes that Plaintiff has participated in multiple inmate mediations in civil rights cases which have been unsuccessful. See, e.g., 3:20-cv-00495-MMD-WGC, 2:20-cv-01655-KJD-22 DJA. In fact, the Court vacated the inmate mediation in this matter because his prior participation 23 in mediations has been unsuccessful. Docket No. 13. See also 2:20-cv-01822-RFB-VCF, Docket 24 No. 14 (same). The Court thus denies Plaintiff's request for a global settlement conference here. The parties are free to privately discuss settlement if they so choose to do so, but are not required to do so. Further, if the parties choose to participate in a global settlement conference, they may 27 file a joint request in each case.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for a global settlement conference is hereby **DENIED** without prejudice. Docket No. 15. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: December 21, 2021 Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge